

Family Name	Mcwhinnie
Given Name	David
Person ID	1286906
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Mcwhinnie
Given Name	David
Person ID	1286906
Title	JPA 35: North of Mosley Common
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>As a local resident REDACTED TEXT I am deeply concerned over the lack of respect and disregard for local residents and environmental shown by the developers and local councils as a consequence of greed.</p> <p>I am writing to raise my objection to the proposed large development of 1,100 new houses on the green-belt fields north of Mosley Common.</p> <p>My objection is</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1,100 extra houses will bring over 1,400 extra cars to the roads around Mosley Common, Ellenbrook, Boothstown, Walkden and Worsley areas. • The existing road infrastructure already heavily stretched on Mosley Common Road, Mort Lane, Bridgewater Road and Newearth Road, the A580, A575 and surrounding Worsley roundabouts will be further stressed by the extra traffic. • The extra traffic will increase the risk to the Health and Safety to everyone in the area especially the elderly and children. • The extra traffic will increase the North West's already high road exhaust emission's and as a consequence damaging people's health. • 1,100 extra households will be using already stretched facilities around Mosley Common, impacting Doctors surgeries, dentists, schools and shops and there is no proposal to improve any of these. <p>I ask how many of the members of the respective councils are impacted by this proposal?</p> <p>How is this area going to cope with this massive increase in capacity of people and traffic? Quite simply it can't!</p> <p>There are a number of reasons why this development is not viable.</p>

1. This is a greenbelt area of outstanding significance for wildlife habitat, not only enjoyed by walkers, children and cyclist such as myself but vital for the sustainability of clean air.

2. In addition to this proposal there are already a number of other housing developments ongoing in the area already impacting the stretched infrastructure i.e.

i. Redrow (Mosley Common) 350 houses under construction

ii. Bellway (Mosley Common) 225-250 houses under construction

iii. Ashberry/Bellway (Hilton Lane) 209 houses under construction

iv. Burgess Way (Hilton Lane) 200+ houses just completed

2) We have always been informed that this area would never be able to be built on due to the massive amount of mining that was performed during the last 150 years.

3) The local area is already at breaking point as the infrastructure is not able to cope with existing traffic congestion. The suggestion of an additional bus stop on the guided-busway will have no impact.

5) There is already a lack of doctor's surgeries and schools within the area as they are all already full. As a local resident for over 30 years I struggle to see a doctor when required.

I conclude my objection and recommend that the proposal be rejected as the area cannot sustain such a large development.